P. F. 41/893

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1893-94

192 .

(L.R.)

File No. 93 & 95

Serial Nos. 1—8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—8

Major ~~Part B~~ for Sept. 1894.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Venkatramiah & Rosiri Pitha in connection with the Shanbhogi Shib.

WD 2 38—GPE—20,000—11-6-20

Lucas

Coombe

Petn 260

Addl appl
Guardian appt
1200-0-0
23/8/94
Def

M No 2517 of 1894-95
A.S.No 113 of 93-94

Appt

Venkatramiah
Shanbhog of
Kesari Pirka
Mysore Taluq
represented by M/s. Rathnavelu
Iyer, Advocate

Respt

Rama.
represented by
M/s. L. Srinivasa Iyengar
Advocate.

Appt is the registered Shanbhog of Kesari Pirka & Respt is her guardian.

The Appt wanted to enter upon her duties, but he having been found incompetent, her prayer was rejected. He requested that her brother might be appointed. The Dy Com rejected her prayer without enquiring whether her brother was a competent to do duties or not, on the ground that the (Appt's brother) did not apply for her nomination. The appeal is against the order.—

Appt now requests that her brother Krishnaramegowda may be appointed as her guardian.—

At the hearing, the Respt having agreed to pay Appt Rs 120 every year for her maintenance, Appt withdrew her appeal. The Rajamanik

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894.

No. 257

Name of Petitioner. Venkataramiah.
Mr. T. Viswanathan, advocate.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 21-2-94

Date of Receipt. 21-2-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Prays that the enclosed Temporale Commissioner's order may be cancelled for the following reasons.

1) The Deputy Commissioner erred in stating that the Karnavadar does not want the appointment for himself as assist his guardian for the same.

2) The petitioner stated in his petition, his inconvenience on account of his private affairs to attend to the duties personally himself

(3)

Copy of order produced & be sent & the Dy Commr for insertion of the dates on which copy was applied for & prepared

2/3

Dy Commr's No 1042 dt 23-3-94

Stamp Sufficient
In time -
Submitted for orders.

3/4

Call for Bro's report

10/5/94

2/5

the petitioner is qualified to do the duties himself.

The petitioner mentioned in his petition that his undivided brother who is in every way qualified may be appointed as Thambiyar in his place or as Gumasta to do the duties.

W.

presentes by the
parties were forwarded
to H. Dr Conr
ref
28/8/94

28/8

Mer impressions etat
H. Razinah presentes
by Mussey & Reed
have been forwarded
to H. Dr Conr
ref
28/8/94

28/8

Redraft
28/8

Enclosure 1.



MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 2517
DATE 21-2-94

(1)

Presented this 21/2/94 Before

Os 113/93-94

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

C P No 893-96

Petitioner. Venkataramiah

The Petr above named begs to apply to this Honorable Court to Cancel the Order of Deputy Commissioner of Mysore — on the following grounds

I The Deputy Commissioner erred in stating that the Applicant for not sent his application for himself or sent his qualifications for the same.

II The Petr stated in his petition that

6

his entertainment an account of his private affairs & also to the parties personally himself

3 The Pltff is qualified to discharge the parties himself

4 The Pltff mentioned in his petition that he married to the who is in every way qualified may be directed to enquire into the allegations in his petition & to report to the parties

Dy 21-2-94

Shaw Barry
Advocate for
Pltff

Pet ✓

To be printed with
Mysore Dy comrs No 1359
& 5760/24 (Cf. No 1825 5=)

8

(2)

To Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

L.R. 93-93-8

No 15264 / Pet: 1521 — Dt 10/15 -3-94
Enc: one

I am forwarding herewith copy of
order no 3688 6c now 93 relating
to the Shanbhagi ~~office~~ of Nasare
in the Mysore Taluk, produced by
Mr Venkatramiah, has the honour
to request that the date on which the
copy was applied for and the date
on which it was ready for delivery
may be inserted in the foot note thereto & the copy
~~and~~ retransmitted
to this office, as early as practicable

By order

10/3

for Chief Secretary

1521

9

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894.

14466/E
Rec'd 94
55650

L.R. 93-93-4

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District (3)

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 23rd March 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. One

General No.

No. 1042

Returning the copy of order relating to the Shankara Hebbar Jaidka, Mysore Taluk, as directed

P.P. Pre 114
27/3/94

Rec'd
27/3/94

No. 1042
Rev. I

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore March 23rd 1894

From

A. Rungaswamy Iyengar Esquire B.A. B.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

(3)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

I have the honor to retransmit herewith the Copy of order No 368, dated 6th November 1893, issued from the District office, in regard to the Shanbhogi of Kesara Firka, Mysore taluq, received with your docket No 15264/1524 dated the 10th Instant, and to state that the date of application for the Copy, and that on which it was ready, has been now entered, the Copyist responsible for the omission having been already removed from office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

A Rungaswamy Iyengar
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd
Ldn

Ex 1907

28-5-9

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(6)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

The accompanying Petition No. 2517
dated 22nd July 93 received at the
Dewan's Office from Venkatramanah
requesting appointment as Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog
District representing the Chamarajpet
of Dewan Purnaiya, Mysore Series
is forwarded, together with its one
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District for submission
of Mr. Dewan's with a report on
the facts of the case, paying
regard to the above petition
the petitioner with the under
hand be returned.

By Order,

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE, 16-8-95
1893

21/5

M.G.C.P.Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

No. 359
En. Petition
with G.O. and
Enquiry
papers —

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 5th June, 1894

(6)

From

A. Rangaswami Iyengar Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of
Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your C. M. No. $\frac{\text{Pet}}{1907}$ dated 14th may 1894 directing the submission of Proceedings with a report on the facts of the case and on the points urged in the appeal petition of Venkataramiah regarding the shanbogi of Kesare Pirka, Mysore Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the Proceedings. Venkataramiah was the registered Baramadar shanbhogue of Kesare Pirka and one Rapanipathiah was appointed to do the work as gumasta during Venkataramiah's minority.

In 1876, Ramiah was appointed Gumasta in place of Rapanipathiah.

In 1887, Baramadar Venkataramiah and his brother Krishnasamiah petitioned

petitioned the Amildar that they had passed their minority and were capable of doing the work themselves; and [illegible] requested orders to enter upon the duties. On the Amildar's enquiry, Gumastah Ramiah stated that he could not be made to give up the appointment as he obtained it by paying money to the Baramahal as a price and had documents drawn up by him to that effect; but the Baramahal denied this. The Amildar, in submitting the above papers for the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, reported that the appointment of Shanbogue was a hereditary one and not transferable by sale or gift, that the Baramahal has passed his minority and was capable of performing his duties himself and solicited orders to transfer the charge from the Gumasta to the Baramahal. The Deputy Commissioner, after examining the Baramahal found him to be unfit and directed that further orders in his case could not

not be passed till the man acquainte himself well in the keeping of accounts. In october 1893, Venkatramiah petition the Amildar that he was quite confused when the Deputy Commissioner examined him in 1888 and so he made a mistake in entering figures and on this account the Deputy Commissioner passed the above order, that his appointment was a hereditary one, that he was prevented from taking up the appointment him-self as he had to attend to the education of his children, that his Shanbhogi might be registered in the name of his brother Krishnasamiah who has a good knowledge in accounts as he had been looking after the Shanbhogi of 3 villages in Ari-kere Hobli, Seringapatam Taluk, tempot-arily.

The Amildar, in submitting the above petition for orders of the Deputy Com-missioner, stated that Baramadar Venkataramia was not fit to do the duties of Shanbogue, that Ramiah, the present

present Gumasta, and his brother Kapani-
-pathia have been in charge of the work
for the last 20 years and that Ramiah
has been doing the work satisfactorily and
that the raiyats had no complaint against
him.

It will be seen that in the said peti-
-tion the Barawardan Venkatramiah
did not apply to be permitted to enter
upon the duties of Shanboque or to undergo
a fresh examination as to his qualifications.
He declared his inability to take up the
appointment himself for certain family
reasons and wanted his brother to be
nominated as Shanboque in his stead.
As the latter had not applied for such
nomination or asserted his right to
oust the gumastah on account of his
hereditary right to the appointment,
there was no occasion for proceeding to
enquire about his rights, and the follow-
-ing order was passed declining to re-
-consider the order of my predecessor: "As
"the Barawardan does not want the
"appointment for himself or assert his

"his qualifications to the same, it seems
"unnecessary to open the case again".

The petition and enclosure received
with the above are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obed.t servant
[signature]
pro Deputy Commissioner

comptd.
Ulen.

17

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(7)

2517
Decree 27

Appeal No. 113 of 1893-94.

L.R. 93-93-6.

Appellant.

Venkatramiah, Shanubhog of Kenchanahalli, Nagamangala Taluk, represented by M. S. Rammanna Gowda.

Vs.

Respondent.

Timmaiah, represented by M. Srinivasayya Nawada.

Decision. dated 28th August 1894.

Appellant is the registered Shanubhog of Kenchanahalli and Respondent is his grantor. Appellant wanted to enter upon his duties, but he having been found incompetent, his prayer was rejected. He requested that his duties might be appointed, but the Deputy Commissioner, rejected his prayer without inquiring whether the nomination was competent to do duties or not, on the ground that he (Appellant) did

died not applying for his nomination. 18

2 The appeal is against the order

3 Appellant ~~is~~ requested that

his costs and disbursements of the Mag. Ct

should be appointed to his guardian

At the hearing, the Respondent

having agreed to pay Appellant

Rs 120/- every year for his

maintenance, Appellant withdrew

his appeal, the Assignment

presented by the parties will be

forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for information

~~for perusal and effect being~~

~~given to it.~~ dated 28/5/94

By order

Asstt.

Chief Secretary

No. 5600 P.S.H.-24 M.5-27-94

Copy forwarded to the Deputy

Commissioner, Bazaar District with the

Assignment and the Translation

received vide his letter No. 359

dated 2nd June 1894.

By order

Chief Secretary

P.G. 2918

I wished other

words & say

"for her information &

as drawn up Pleased in

terms requested. I would

not have objected if

My colleague wishes

to retain them

Chief

No. 2517
2217
AS. 113 of 93-94

19

(6)

L.R. 93-93

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Venkatramiah, Shanbhog of Kesare Pirka, Mysore Taluk, represented by Mr S. Ramaswamy Iyer

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 2517 2217 dated 21st Feby ~~1st July~~, 1896 appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District regarding the Shanbhogi of the said Pirka

Petitioner is informed that the Razinamah presented by himself and Respondent has been forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner

The enclosure is returned

Ld
30/8/96

"By Order"

Atto 15/9/94

Chief Secretary.

Draft

Draft
29/8.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

Draft

(8)

20

L.R. 93-8

2517.